RESEARCH NOTE

Open Access

Check for updates

How much do Europeans know about the link between alcohol use and cancer? Results from an online survey in 14 countries

Maria Neufeld^{1*}, Daša Kokole^{1,2}, Daniela Correia^{1,3}, Carina Ferreira-Borges¹, Aleksandra Olsen¹, Alexander Tran⁴ and Jürgen Rehm^{4,5,6,7}

Abstract

Objective In the EU, which has the highest drinking levels worldwide, cancer is the primary cause of alcoholattributable deaths. Existing studies show gaps in public knowledge, but there is lack of systematic appraisal. The report presents original data from a cross-sectional survey conducted within the framework of an online experimental study in 14 European countries, which among other things assessed baseline knowledge of the alcohol-NCD link, particularly cancer.

Methods Online questionnaire among adults who consume alcohol conducted in 14 countries in 2022–2023 using different recruitment strategies and applying population weights for the final sample. Baseline assessments measured participants' knowledge of alcohol-attributable health issues (with a specific focus on cancer).

Results Baseline knowledge assessment showed that 90% indicated a causal role of alcohol for liver disease, 68% for heart diseases, and only 53% for cancer. Knowledge of specific alcohol-attributable cancer types was lower, with 39% aware of the link between alcohol use and colon cancer, 28% regarding oral cancer, and only 15% regarding female breast cancer. Knowledge levels varied across different countries and population groups.

Conclusion Most Europeans do not know which cancers can be caused by alcohol use and knowledge is low specifically for female breast cancer. More awareness raising and prevention efforts are needed, such as the placement of cancer-specific health warnings on alcohol container labels.

Keywords Alcohol, cancer, Knowledge, Noncommunicable diseases, Prevention, Public health, Risk factors

*Correspondence:

Maria Neufeld

neufeld.maria@gmail.com; neufeldm@who.int

¹WHO Regional Office for Europe, UN City, Marmorvej 51,

2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

²CAPHRI Care and Public Health Research Institute, Maastricht University, 6200 Maastricht, MDPOB 616, the Netherlands

³EPIUnit - Instituto de Saúde Pública, Universidade do Porto, Porto,

Portugal

⁴Research Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 33 Ursula Franklin Street, M5S 2S1 Toronto, ON, Canada ⁵Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Dalla Lana School of Public

Health, Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, 155 College Street, Toronto, Canada

⁶University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Martinistraße 52, Hamburg, Germany

⁷Public Health Agency of Catalonia, WHO Collaborating Centre on Substance Use, Noncommunicable Diseases and Policy Impact, 81-95 Roc Boronat St, 08005 Barcelona, Spain



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) remain the largest health burden of our time, killing 41 million annually, equivalent to 74% of all deaths globally [1]. Cardiovascular diseases and cancers account for most of the total deaths, with a share of 44% and 23%, respectively. Alcohol use is a major risk factor for NCDs and is a cause for more than 200 diseases and injuries in the International Classification of Diseases [2]. Globally, two-thirds of alcohol-attributable deaths are NCD deaths, and this proportion is even higher in the European Union (EU), where alcohol consumption is the highest globally and where 75% of all alcohol-attributable deaths are due to NCDs, with cancer (29%) being the leading cause [3, 4]. Although "ethanol in alcoholic beverages" has been classified as a group 1 carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer over three decades ago, this knowledge has still not entered broad public awareness in most countries [5].

The present short report summarizes original data on knowledge of the link between alcohol consumption and various health harms, including cancer, from a large-scale European study. Data were gathered during a baseline assessment module of a larger online experiment, which assessed the impact of different health messages on labels of alcoholic beverages in 14 European countries.

Main text

Methods

The study has been conducted as part of a broader project, which focuses on the development of evidence to support the implementation of effective health warnings on labels of alcoholic beverages in the EU [6]. The study involved an online survey conducted in 14 countries with diverse drinking patterns: Austria, Belgium, Estonia, France, Germany, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain, and Sweden. The survey was developed in English and underwent a pre-testing phase involving close professional networks of the core team to assess the survey's comprehensiveness and correct execution, and of the different experimental components of the label designs (see Annex for the English version). After completion of pre-testing, the survey was translated into 12 different languages, with each translation independently checked by a second native speaker, and any discrepancies were discussed by the team. A pretest was separately conducted for each language version as well, and any identified issues with language or display of the different labels were addressed. Data was collected through two waves in 2022-2023, using different recruitment strategies, namely distribution of the survey link through national agencies and non-governmental organizations, complemented by sponsored Facebook advertising. Post-stratification weighting for age, gender and education attainment was applied using EUROSTAT distributions so the resulting weighted distribution was representative of the population structure of each country [7]. Inclusion criteria for participation were 18+years of age (except in Lithuania where the minimum legal drinking age is 20+) and having consumed alcohol in last 12 months.

As part of baseline assessment, participants' knowledge of alcohol-attributable health harms was measured. The knowledge assessment was based on previous studies [8, 9] and included identifying conditions associated with alcohol use, such as cancer, heart disease, liver disease, and respiratory disease. Participants could also select answers such as "don't know" or "none." If they selected cancer, they were asked to specify the cancer type caused by alcohol consumption from a list which included female breast cancer, oral cancer, colon, and liver cancers, as well skin cancer as a control condition with no proven link to alcohol use.

More details on the design, sampling, and data processing strategies can be found in the published research protocol and a specific methods paper [6, 10].

The proportion of respondents which identified each condition associated with alcohol use was analysed for the total sample, by country, gender, age, and education level, and significant differences assessed through Chisquared tests. In addition, multivariate logistic regressions were fitted to each of the outcomes to assess the multivariate effect of the demographic variables, as well as to test interaction effects between them. Significant interaction effects were found between gender and age for all outcomes, as well as between age and education for most outcomes. Since all the interactions detected included the variable age group, we decided to stratify the analysis by this variable. Thus, the multivariate effect of gender and education was estimated through adjusted odds ratios (OR) and the respective 95% confidence intervals (95%CI), stratified by age group (Table A3, supplementary material).

Results

The final sample size comprised N=19,601 participants, and included only responses from participants who met the outlined inclusion criteria, i.e. who were at least 18 years old (or 20 in the case of Lithuania) and who have consumed alcoholic beverages in the past 12 months. After weighting, the marginal distributions of age, gender, and education matched the nations' actual distributions for most countries, and the average absolute difference from EUROSTAT data was 2.2% (see Annex Table A1 and A2 for the reported results in the unweighted sample).

Table 1 presents the main results of the pre-intervention knowledge assessment in the total sample and in country sub-samples, highlighting that while most

All countries,	Total	Austria	Austria Belgium Estonia	Estonia	France	Germany	Ireland	Latvia	Lithuania	Netherlands	Norway	Portugal	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	<i>p</i> -value ^b
N = 19,601																
Z	19,601	1356	885	696	1876	2565	923	1386	511	758	1126	2345	1144	2826	931	
Cancer	53%	55%	60%	43%	72%	65%	%09	26%	41%	60%	48%	45%	39%	56%	52%	< 0.001
Heart disease	68%	70%	76%	77%	82%	77%	68%	%69	73%	67%	56%	50%	56%	66%	68%	< 0.001
Liver disease	%06	%96	89%	93%	89%	95%	93%	89%	%06	92%	89%	81%	91%	87%	93%	< 0.001
Respiratory disease	11%	8%	10%	14%	16%	13%	19%	8%	10%	11%	5%	11%	8%	14%	6%	< 0.001
Don't know	5%	2%	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	6%	2%	6%	%6	%6	6%	7%	4%	< 0.001
None	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	%0	2%	4%	1%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	< 0.001
Female breast cancer*	15%	15%	17%	13%	24%	24%	28%	7%	13%	23%	10%	7%	%6	13%	15%	< 0.001
Liver cancer*	50%	51%	57%	41%	%69	62%	58%	25%	39%	56%	42%	43%	37%	53%	47%	< 0.001
Colon cancer*	39%	44%	47%	29%	55%	53%	44%	20%	28%	46%	34%	23%	30%	39%	38%	< 0.001
Oral cancer*	28%	28%	35%	18%	44%	41%	36%	10%	19%	33%	15%	21%	25%	28%	20%	< 0.001
Skin cancer*	6%	6%	5%	6%	12%	%6	7%	3%	6%	7%	2%	3%	2%	6%	3%	< 0.001
Don't know*	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%	< 0.001
None*	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	1%	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	< 0.001

participants (90%) have correctly associated liver disease with alcohol use, this proportion was considerably lower for heart disease (68%), where only half selected cancer (53%). Among those who selected cancer, and consequently were presented with a list of different types of cancers, the most-selected type of cancer was liver, followed by colon and oral cancers, while a smaller proportion selected female breast cancer. When calculating the response rates as percentages of all respondents to highlight overall knowledge levels of the specific cancers caused by alcohol use, the data highlight that half of all respondents (50%) were able to correctly name liver cancer as a condition associated with alcohol use, 39% identified colon, 28% selected oral cancers, and only 15% associated alcohol use with female breast cancer. Interestingly, 6% indicated skin cancer as being associated with alcohol use. There was also large variation in the responses between countries. Less pronounced cross-country differences were observed in knowledge levels related to liver disease, varying from 96% (Austria) and 81% (Portugal). Knowledge regarding alcohol use and other alcohol-attributable diseases varied even more widely, between 82% (France) and 50% (Portugal), with the largest difference in cancer knowledge observed between France (72%) and Latvia (26%).

Overall, women had higher knowledge in relation to all conditions than men, with the largest difference observed for breast cancer (21% vs. 10%, respectively). Also younger, and better educated participants demonstrated higher knowledge of the various alcohol-attributable conditions (Table 2). However, results show that age modifies the magnitude of differences in knowledge between genders and education levels, despite never inverting the signal (see Annex Table A3 for the adjusted logistic regression results). For example, among young adults between 18 and 34, when compared to men, women had 1.15 (95%CI: 1.03, 1.27) times higher odds of selecting cancer as a condition for which risk increases with alcohol consumption. Also, the difference between men and women increased with age, with women over 55 years old having odds of selecting cancer as a condition for which risk increases with alcohol consumption of 1.46 (95%CI: 1.32, 1.62) times higher than men.

Limitations

^o Chi-squared tests for differences in proportions were conducted to assess statistical significance. P-values below 0.05 are considered statistically significant

The study has certain limitations. Firstly, the study was conducted in only 14 countries and should therefore be considered as only a first attempt to systematically assess the knowledge of the link between alcohol use and cancer using the same survey across Europe. Although the included countries generally represent the various drinking patterns commonly found in Europe as well as in the different sub-regions, the study does not claim representativeness and was not conceptualized as a representative

All countries, N = 19,601	Gender			Age				Education		
	Women	Men	<i>p</i> -value ^b	18–34	35–54	55+	<i>p</i> -value ^b	Secondary or less	Tertiary	<i>p</i> -value ^b
N	9,583	10,018		5,753	7,975	5,873		12,163	7,438	
Cancer	57%	49%	< 0.001	56%	53%	49%	< 0.001	47%	62%	< 0.001
Heart disease	71%	64%	< 0.001	74%	68%	61%	< 0.001	64%	73%	< 0.001
Liver disease	93%	86%	< 0.001	89%	91%	89%	< 0.001	88%	93%	< 0.001
Respiratory disease	12%	11%	< 0.001	15%	11%	9%	< 0.001	10%	13%	< 0.001
Don't know	4%	7%	< 0.001	6%	5%	6%	0.001	6%	3%	< 0.001
None	1%	3%	< 0.001	1%	1%	3%	< 0.001	2%	1%	0.098
Female breast cancer*	21%	10%	< 0.001	15%	16%	14%	0.004	10%	23%	< 0.001
Liver cancer*	54%	46%	< 0.001	53%	50%	47%	< 0.001	45%	59%	< 0.001
Colon cancer*	42%	35%	< 0.001	38%	41%	36%	< 0.001	33%	48%	< 0.001
Oral cancer*	31%	25%	< 0.001	30%	29%	24%	< 0.001	23%	36%	< 0.001
Skin cancer*	7%	5%	0.001	10%	5%	3%	< 0.001	5%	8%	< 0.001
Don't know*	1%	2%	0.009	2%	2%	1%	0.040	2%	2%	0.598
None*	0%	0%	0.084	0%	0%	0%	0.013	0%	0%	0.178

Table 2 Proportion of respondents selecting the condition where alcohol consumption increases the risk of, by gender, age, and education, using weighted data^a

* Only respondents selecting "cancer' were asked to respond to the question about specific cancers, percentage represents proportion of all respondents

^a Weighted by age, gender, and education on country level based on the population distribution of EUROSTAT

^b Chi-squared test for differences in proportions was conducted to assess statistical significance. *P*-values below 0.05 are considered statistically significant

survey. Representativeness would involve probabilistic sampling methods with an inclusive sampling framework and no response biases. However, this does not seem possible in the field of alcohol research since the existing population-level surveys—which are typically household surveys-systematically under-report alcohol intake when compared to country sale statistics. This is due to the usual biases of self-report as well as a systematic exclusion of the heaviest drinkers in the sampling frames, including people who reside in treatment facilities, jails, or prisons, or who are experiencing homelessness [11]. Moreover, the sampling strategy included current drinkers only (i.e., people who have consumed alcohol in the past 12 months), and abstainers may differ in sociodemographic characteristics as well as in their alcohol-related knowledge. Therefore, the results on knowledge presented here should be interpreted with care, especially when compared to the reported-knowledge percentages in the mentioned reviews, which were more diverse in their sampling frames [5].

Conclusions

This online survey assessed knowledge of alcohol-attributable conditions and cancer types across 14 EU countries and different sociodemographic groups for almost 20,000 participants, who were all current drinkers. Participants were well informed about the link between alcohol use and liver disease but lacked knowledge about the link between alcohol use and other NCDs, including liver cancer. Over 40% of participants did not recognize cancer as an alcohol-attributable condition, with significant variations seen between countries and population subgroups. There might be various reasons for the observed stark differences in knowledge of alcohol-attributable diseases across European countries, ranging from differences in health education systems, presence or absence of public health campaigns related to alcohol use's harms, media influence, social and cultural norms, as well as regulatory policies (for example, the level to which alcohol marketing is restricted in one country). The present results may therefore be used by countries to inform their public health or educational campaigns.

The findings also clearly highlight that knowledge surrounding alcohol use and specific cancers, particularly female breast cancer, was low, which reflects the findings of the recent review on existing studies [5]. Some participants mistakenly believed skin cancer to be alcoholattributable, which suggests that there is a substantial proportion of individuals who might not have the relevant knowledge but simply follow the belief that "everything causes cancer" [12] and also indicates that the other knowledge percentages are likely to be overestimated.

Given the current scenario, in which the public and the alcohol consumers themselves are unaware of the causal relationship between alcohol and cancer, wider distribution and greater communication of this information is required, as well as information on how to mitigate the risk. Cancer-specific health warnings are already standard practice for tobacco products and should be considered for alcoholic beverages as well [13]. Additionally, public policy should encourage and support health professionals in discussing alcohol consumption with their patients, educating them about the various health risks, including cancer, and in assisting in behavioural changes [14]. Finally, population-wide strategies to reduce alcohol per capita consumption in European populations as a whole are needed, such as higher taxation of alcohol, for example [15]. Research suggests that individuals with increased knowledge of the causal link between alcohol consumption and cancer risk are more likely to support stricter alcohol regulations, including measures such as restrictions on sale hours or advertising [16]. Therefore, the findings indicate the importance of improving knowledge of the alcohol-cancer link as an integral part of broader prevention efforts in Europe.

Abbreviations

NCDs Noncommunicable diseases

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13104-024-06707-w.

Supplementary Material 1 Supplementary Material 2 Supplementary Material 3 Supplementary Material 4

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the members of the WHO Technical Advisory Group for Alcohol Labelling for their important contributions to the design and implementation of this study. Additionally, the authors extend their gratitude to the following individuals for their support in translating and distributing the studyt link in various countries: Daniel Bergsvik, Nicky Dirkx, Gloria Dossou, Herdis Dugstad, Paula Ericson, Jeroen Freriks, Karine Gallopel-Morvan, Sheila Gilheany, Torleif Halkjelsvik, Solead Justo, Siobhan McNamara, Raúl Melo, Paula Leonard, Brigitta Öunmaa, Sandra Radoš-Krnel, Anna Raninen, Claudia Rafling, Ingeborg Rossow, Manuela Schumann, Lidia Segura Garcia, Mindaugas Štelemėkas, Anne Swaluë, Jelena Talačkienė, Maja Weemes Grøtting, Elēna Zviedre.

The authors would also like to thank Carolin Kilian for her valuable contributions in establishing the web-based survey, and potential weighting. Furthermore, we are grateful to Jakob Manthey for his valuable support with the interpretation and discussion of the survey design and intermediate results, and to Tiina Liikki, Benn McGrady and Elisabet Ruiz Cairó for their support in developing and constructing the survey. Astrid Otto is thanked for copy-editing the manuscript.

Author contributions

AT, DK, JR and MN conceptualized the original study, developed the study design and the ques-tionnaire. AO assisted with development of parts of the questionnaire, DC, DK, JR and MN supported the translation of the questionnaire into various languages and MN coordinated overall translations. AT imple-mented the questionnaire and monitored data collection and performed data analysis. DC supported with data analysis. All authors contributed to results' interpretation. JR and CFB provided supervision and tech-nical guidance. MN and DK jointly drafted the first version of the manuscript. All authors critically revised the draft manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the EU4Health programme under Contribution Agreement no. SANTE/2022/SI2.883729 (Addressing alcohol harm - capacity building, raising awareness and implementation of best practices in the Union). Its contents are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Union.

Page 5 of 6

Data availability

All data supporting the results in this article are included in the article itself or its supporting information. Any materials or databases generated in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical clearance was granted by the WHO Collaborating Centre for Addiction and Mental Health in Toronto, Canada (Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Research Ethics Board, #095/2022). Participants provided informed consent before participating in the study. The Data Protection Officer of the Public Health Agency of Catalonia, which is a WHO Collaborating Centre on Substance Use, Noncommunicable Diseases and Policy Impact, ensured compliance with applicable data protection rules of the EU (Barcelona, Spain, DPD #21/2022).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 4 October 2023 / Accepted: 26 January 2024 Published online: 20 February 2024

References

- World Health Organization. World Health Statistics 2023: monitoring health for the SDGs, sustainable development goals [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Aug 9]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240074323.
- Rehm J, Gmel GES, Gmel G, Hasan OSM, Imtiaz S, Popova S, et al. The relationship between different dimensions of alcohol use and the burden of diseasean update. Addiction (Abingdon England). 2017;112(6):968–1001.
- World Health Organization. Global Information System on Alcohol and Health (GISAH) [Internet]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node. gisah.A1191?lang=en&showonly=GISAH.
- Rehm J, Shield KD. Alcohol Use and Cancer in the European Union. Eur Addict Res [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1 [cited 2021 Feb 2];27(1):1–8. Available from: https:// www.karger.com/Article/FullText/507017.
- Kokole D, Ferreira-Borges C, Galea G, Tran A, Rehm J, Neufeld M. Public awareness of alcohol-cancer link in the EU and the UK: a scoping review. Eur J Public Health. 2023;In press.
- WHO Europe. Alcohol warning labels and cancer risk knowledge: study protocol (No. 12761885). OSF. [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 9]. Available from: https://osf.io/5qtwb/?view_only=0b64ec515382408c933532656c6b4af4.
- Eurostat. Population by educational attainment level, sex and age. [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 9]. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/ eurostat/databrowser/view/EDAT_LFS_9901__custom_6552439/default/ table?lang=en.
- Thomsen KL, Christensen ASP, Meyer MKH. Awareness of alcohol as a risk factor for cancer: a population-based cross-sectional study among 3000 Danish men and women. Prev Med Rep. 2020;19:101156.
- Schouten F, Tuithof M, Van Hasselt N, Voogt C. Kennismonitor Alcohol 2020. Onderzoek onder volwassenen naar kennis over alcoholschade [Internet]. Utrecht; 2021. Available from: https://www.alcoholinfo.nl/.
- Correia D, Tran A, Kokole D, Neufeld M, Olsen A, Likki T et al. Designing and conducting an experimental survey on knowledge and perceptions about alcohol warning labels. Under review.
- 11. Rehm J, Kilian C, Rovira P, Shield KD, Manthey J. The elusiveness of representativeness in general population surveys for alcohol. Drug Alcohol Rev [Internet]. 2021;40(2):161–5. https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13148.
- May N, Eliott J, Crabb S. Everything causes cancer': how australians respond to the message that alcohol causes cancer. Crit Public Health. 2017;27(4):419–29.
- World Health Organization. Health warning labels on alcoholic beverages: opportunities for informed and healthier choices. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 9];(November). Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/9789240044449.

- 14. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. Alcohol and Cancer in the WHO European Region: An appeal for better prevention. 2020 [cited 2023 Apr 14]; Available from: http://apps.who.int/bookorders.
- Kilian C, Rovira P, Neufeld M, Ferreira-Borges C, Rumgay H, Soerjomataram I et al. Modelling the impact of increased alcohol taxation on alcohol-attributable cancers in the WHO European Region. Lancet Reg Health - Europe. 2021;11.
- Buykx P, Gilligan C, Ward B, Kippen R, Chapman K. Public support for alcohol policies associated with knowledge of cancer risk. Int J Drug Policy [Internet].

2015 Apr 1 [cited 2021 Nov 24];26(4):371–9. Available from: https://pubmed. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25217801/.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.